## A. Appendix

Contents		
A.1.	Questionnaire of the Evaluation	47

#### A.1. Questionnaire of the Evaluation

#### Evaluation: Template-based dashboard configuration

The purpose of this questionnaire is to evaluate the template-based dashboard configuration tool, which was developed for the the Project Management Cockpit for inexperienced users. Within the framework of this tool, a dashboard template is a set of question - answer pairs. A **question** is defined as an *information need* of a user and an **answer** is a *dashboard item*, which illustrates an information or data. For an example, a project manager or team leader are users, who have the following information need (question): will the project finish on time? This question can be answered, for instance, with the metric Earned Value, which is represented by a diagram (dashboard item).

In addition to the question-answer pairs, the dimensions are defined. A **dimension** describes for whom or for what a template is relevant. Examples for a dimension are the user role in the current project or the project type. Each dimension consists of **characteristics**, which form the dimension. For instance, a project type can be either a new development, a major enhancement, a bug fix or an operation.

According to the developed approach of the rule-based dashboard configuration, the user can select the relevant dimensions and characteristics and the system will suggest the appropriate question-answer pairs. The rule-based dashboard configuration tool represents the support for the creation of templates, where the rules for the generation of question-answer pairs corresponding to dimensions are defined.

The following questions are presented in the form of multiple choice or text and divided into four groups. In the first group the question ask about the information about experience of the test person with dashboards and dashboard templates. The second group asks about suggestions for improvement after the subject tried to create a template. The answers to the third and the fourth group

of questions will give information about the quality of the tool's functionality and user satisfaction respectively.

#### A Question about user's experience

1. I have experience project dashboards.	ce with	the creat	ion of ten	nplates f	for the configuration of
Completely Agree	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
2. I have an experie board templates (in				oject das	shboards by using dash-
Completely Agree	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
3. I have experience	e with th	ne creation	n of dashb	oards.	
Completely Agree	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
			· ·		needs and to select the nformation needs of my
Completely Agree	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
B User's experie	nce wit	h the ru	le-based	dashbo	pard configuration

# tool

Please, try to create a dashboard template according to the scenario described below. Use the rule-based dashboard configuration tool and note your suggestions for improvement and critique.

Abide the following **scenario**, when creating the dashboard template.

In this scenario, your task is to generate dashboard templates by using the dashboard initialization tool. At first, you should create a template with the name Dev Template. In order to define the rules that define the validity of a template, you have to create the dimensions Role and Project Type. The characteristics of the dimension Role are Project Manager, Team Leader, Tester and Developer. The characteristics of the dimension Project Type are New Development, Major Enhancement, Maintains/Bug Fixes and Operation. Optional, you can also create your own dimension with characteristics. In the next step you need to create the following questions for the information needs: Will the project stay within the given budget?, What are the quality risks in the product? and Will the project finish on time? You can create your own information needs optional. Create dashboard items with the following visualization IDs: Earned Value and Mean Time to Defect. You can also create dashboard items with your own visualization IDs. Assign to each visualization ID an available image. You need now to navigate to your Dev Template in order to define the template rules.

You can check the result of your template rules on the Dashboard SetUp page.

rour suggestio	ons and critiqi	ue:		

#### C Quality of the tool's functionality

#### Suitability of the task

1. The arrangement of the elements on the screen is meaningful for the execution of the tasks.

A. Appendix					
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	$\circ$	0	Completely Disagree
2. I understand wh	at steps	I have to	execute in	order to	o perform a task.
Completely Agree	0	0	0	$\circ$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\circ$				
3. Too many steps	are need	led to perf	orm a tas	k.	
Completely Agree	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
4. I can navigate ea mation to perform	-	_	sool and it	t is easy	to find necessary infor-
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
Self-descriptivenes	s				
1. The tool provide situation.	es enoug	h informa	tion, wha	t tasks a	are possible in a certain
Completely Agree	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
2. I understand the	terms a	and concep	ots used in	the too	1.
Completely Agree	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	Completely Disagree
Do not know	$\bigcirc$				
3. The tool provided dashboard templated		portant in	formation	, that w	ere required to create a

			A.1.	Question	nnaire of the Evaluation
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
Controllability					
1. The tool provide	s naviga	tion hints.	, which all	ow optii	mal usage of the tool.
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
2. The proposed va	lidation	process al	lows an op	ptimal u	sage of the tool.
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
D Conformity wi	th user	expecta	tions		
1. I understand the by the tool.	e purpos	e of a rule	e-based da	shboard	configuration provided
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
2. The proposed coexpectations.	oncept f	or the das	shboard co	onfigura	tion corresponds to my
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree
3. The layout of the	e visual	componen	ts allows o	optimal	usage of the tool.
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree

4. The results are predictable during the execution of tasks.							
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	0	0	Completely Disagree		
5. The rule-based dashboard configuration is helpful for an inexperienced dashboard user.							
Completely Agree Do not know	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	Completely Disagree		

A. Appendix

### **Bibliography**

- [1] Ergonomie der Mensch-System-Interaktion Teil 110: Grundsätze der Dialoggestaltung (ISO 9241-110:2006); DeutscheFassung EN ISO 9241-110:2006, 2008.
- [2] Martin Backschat and Otto Gardon. Enterprise JavaBeans und JPA: Grundlagen- Konzepte- Praxis zu EJB 3.1 und JPA 2.0. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, 2002.
- [3] H. Balzert, R. Koschke, U. Lämmel, H. Balzert, P. Liggesmeyer, and J. Quante. Lehrbuch Der Softwaretechnik: Basiskonzepte Und Requirements Engineering. Spektrum Lehrbücher der Informatik. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, 2009.
- [4] V.R. Basili, G. Caldiera, and H.D. Rombach. The Goal Question Metric Approach. *Encyclopedia of Software Engineering*, 1:528–532, 1994.
- [5] E. Burns, C. Schalk, and N. Griffin. *JavaServer Faces 2.0: The Complete Reference*. The Complete Reference. McGraw-Hill, 2009.
- [6] Strategic dashboards. Strategic dashboards. http://www.bidashboard.org/types/strategic.html, 2010-2011. Date accessed 15 July 2012.
- [7] Micheline Elias and Anastasia Bezerianos. Exploration views: Understanding Dashboard Creation and Customization for Visualization Novices. In Proceedings of the 13th IFIP TC 13 international conference on Human-computer interaction Volume Part IV, INTERACT'11, pages 274–291, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. Springer-Verlag.
- [8] Dr. Gary J. Evans. A Framework for Project Metrics. Deciding what to measure and how to measure it. CVR/IT Consulting LLC. http://www.cvrit.com/Presentations/ProjectMetrics\_CVR-IT.pdf, 2007. Date accessed 13 July 2012.
- [9] Frederic Evers. Conceptual Extension of Project Dashboards for Inexperienced Users. Master Thesis, 2012, RWTH Aachen.
- [10] Norman E. Fenton and Martin Neil. Software metrics: Roadmap. In *Proceedings of the Conference on The Future of Software Engineering*, ICSE '00, pages 357–370, New York, NY, USA, 2000. ACM.

- [11] Stephen Few. Information Dashboard Design: The Effective Visual Communication Of Data. Oreilly Series. O'Reilly, 2006.
- [12] Stephen Few. Dashboard Design for Real-Time Situation Awareness. http://www.perceptualedge.com/articles/Whitepapers/Dashboard\_Design.pdf, 2007. Date accessed 14 July 2012.
- [13] Jesse James Garrett. Ajax: A New Approach to Web Applications. http://adaptivepath.com/ideas/essays/archives/000385.php, 2005. Date accessed 3 July 2012.
- [14] Zach Gemignan. A Guide to Creating Dashboards People Love to Use. http://www.dashboardinsight.com/articles/digital-dashboards/fundamentals/a-guide-to-creating-dashboards-people-love-to-use-part-3.aspx, 2009. Date accessed 3 August 2012.
- [15] Ragae Ghaly. Sams Teach Yourself EJB in 21 Days. Pearson Education, 2002.
- [16] Jens Heidrich and Jürgen Münch. Goal-Oriented Setup and Usage of Custom-Tailored Software Cockpits. In Proceedings of the 9th international conference on Product-Focused Software Process Improvement, PROFES '08, pages 4–18, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008. Springer-Verlag.
- [17] IEEE. IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology. Office, 121990, 1990.
- [18] Oliver Ihns, Dierk Harbeck, Stefan M. Heldt, and Holger Koschek. EJB 3 professionell. Grundlagen- und Expertenwissen zu Enterprise JavaBeans 3 für Einsteiger, Umsteiger und Fortgeschrittene. Dpunkt Verlag, 2007.
- [19] ISO/IEC. IEEE Standard Adoption of ISO/IEC 15939:2007 Systems and Software Engineering Measurement Process (ISO/IEC 15939:2007(E)). *IEEE Std 15939-2008*, pages C1 –40, 30 2009.
- [20] Eric Jendrock, Jennifer Ball, Debbie Carson, Ian Evans, Scott Fordin, and Kim Haase. Oracle Corporation: The Java EE 5 Tutorial. http://docs.oracle.com/javaee/5/tutorial/doc/gexaf.html, 2010. Date accessed 30 June 2012.
- [21] Rob Johnson. Expert one-on-one J2EE design and development. Wrox, 2003.
- [22] H. Kerzner. Project Management Metrics, KPIs, and Dashboards: A Guide to Measuring and Monitoring Project Performance. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [23] Alicia Key, Bill Howe, Daniel Perry, and Cecilia Aragon. VizDeck: self-organizing dashboards for visual analytics. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM*

- SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD '12, pages 681–684, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM.
- [24] Tristan Langer. Extending the Gargoyle Codegen with Semantic Relationships. Bachelor Thesis, 2012, RWTH Aachen.
- [25] Jochen Ludewig and Horst Lichter. Software Engineering Grundlagen, Menschen, Prozesse, Techniken. dpunkt.verlag, 2007.
- [26] M. Marinschek, M. Kurz, and G. Müllan. *JavaServer Faces 2.0: Grundlagen und erweiterte Konzepte*. Dpunkt Verlag GmbH, 2009.
- [27] Richard Monson-Haefel and Tim Rohaly. Oracle Corporation. jGuru Enterprise JavaBeans Fundamentals. http://java.sun.com/developer/onlineTraining/EJBIntro/EJBIntro.html, 2000. Date accessed 23 June 2012.
- [28] Themis Palpanas, Pawan Chowdhary, George Mihaila, and Florian Pinel. Integrated model-driven dashboard development. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 9:195–208, 2007.
- [29] Kathy Sierra and Bert Bates. Head first EJB passing the Sun certified business component developer exam: a brain-friendly study guide. O'Reilly, 2003.
- [30] SWEBOK. Chapter 12 Software Measurement (SWEBOK). http://mensura2007.uib.es/documents/SwMeasBok\_Ch12\_vMay192007.pdf, 2007. Draft May 1 (2007). Date accessed 12 July 2012.
- [31] Matthias Vianden. *Introduction EJB-GenCodename: Gargoyle*. RWTH Aachen, 2011.





# Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Natural Sciences Research Group Software Construction

## **Bachelor Thesis**

## Rule based Initialization of Project Dashboards

Regelbasierte Initialisierung von Projektdashboards

Elena Emelyanova

August, 2012

Reviewer:

Prof. Dr. rer.nat. Horst Lichter Prof. Dr. rer.nat. Bernhard Rumpe

Supervisor:

Dipl.-Inform. Matthias Vianden

keine anderen als die angegebenen	Quellen u	ınd E	Hilfsmittel	benutzt sowie	Zitate
kenntlich gemacht habe.					
Aachen, den 09.08.2012			_		
			— F	Elena Emelyar	nova —

Hiermit versichere ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig verfasst und

## Contents

1.	Introduction an Motivation	1
2.	Foundations  2.1. Enterprise JavaBeans	3 5 6 8 10 13
3.	Related Work	17
4.	Requirements 4.1. Stakeholder List	21 21 23 24
5.	Realization5.1. GUI and Concept	25 25 33 37
6.	Evaluation 6.1. Results of the Evaluation	<b>41</b> 41 42
7.	Summary and Outlook	45
Α.	Appendix A.1. Questionnaire of the Evaluation	<b>47</b>
Bil	bliography	53

## List of Figures

2.1.	EJB Architecture.	5
2.2.	JavaServer Faces Standard Request-Response Life Cycle	7
2.3.	The Target Architecture of the Gargoyle Codegenerator	11
2.4.	The Gargoyle Codegenerator Architecture	12
2.5.	The hierarchical Structure of the Goal Question Metric Model	
	(from [4])	15
5.1.	Statechart Diagram of Creation of a Dashboard Template and	
5.1.	Initialization Rules	26
<b>E</b> 9		27
5.2.	A Dashboard Template List in the Software Prototype	21
5.3.	Software Prototype: The Pop-Up Dialog for the Creation of a new Template	27
5.4.	Sequence Diagram of Method Invocations in the System during	<i>2</i> I
0.4.	HTTP Request initiated by Activation of the Button Create New	
	Dashboard Template	28
5.5.	The Dashboard Template Edit Page in the Software Prototype.	29
5.6.	The Dimension Edit Page in the Software Prototype	30
5.0. 5.7.	The Setup Page of the Project Management Cockpit in the Soft-	30
5.7.	ware Prototype	31
5.8.	The first Option: Selection of Dashboard Template in the Combo	91
J.O.	Box of the Setup Page	31
5.9.	The first Option: the returned Information Need-Dashboard Item	91
0.5.	pairs	32
5.10.	The second Option: Selection of Dimension Characteristics	32
	The second Option: the affected Templates and Dimensions with	_
	Characteristics	33
5.12.	The second Option: the returned Information Need-Dashboard	
	Item pairs	33
5.13.	The Architecture of the Rule-Based Dashboard Initialization Tool.	33
	The UML Class Diagram of the Domain Model of the Rule-Based	
	Dashboard Initialization Tool	34
5.15.	The Structural Relation of the Tool Components	36
	The Resources List	37
5.17.	Fetching of a Dimension	38
	The CharacteristicsList Template	38
	Not working Implementation of the CharacteristicsList Template	39
5.20.	Correct Implementation of the CharacteristicsList Template	39
5.21.	Implementation of the $selectOneRadio$ UI Component	40