

Master Thesis

Evaluierung von Präsentation rahmenwerken für EJB Anwendungen in einer J2EE Architektur

Evaluating Presentation Layer Development Frameworks for EJB
Applications in J2EE Architecture

by

Ohm Samkoses

Vorgelegt der: Fakultät für Mathematik, Informatik
und Naturwissenschaften der Rheinisch-
Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule
Aachen im Mai 2011

Angefertigt am: Lehr- und Forschungsgebiet Informatik 3
Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Horst Lichter

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Horst Lichter
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Manfred Nagl

Betreuer: Dipl.-Inform. Matthias Vianden

I hereby declare that I have written the following work myself without the help of any unmentioned reference or tool. All passages which have been taken from published or unpublished texts, either verbatim or in analogy, have been properly cited according to established academic citation rules. This thesis has not been published and submitted as a thesis or a similar form.

Aachen, 22 June 2011

Ohm Samkoses

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Objectives	1
1.2. Tasks	2
1.3. Structure of Thesis	3
2. Background	5
2.1. Existing System and Related Technology	5
2.1.1. Enterprise Java Beans (EJB)	8
2.1.2. IBM Websphere Application Server 7.0 (WAS)	9
2.1.3. Model-View- Controller pattern (MVC)	10
2.2. Framework Popularity and First Frameworks Selection	11
2.3. General Web Framework Criteria and Frameworks Analysis	12
2.3.1. Testability	12
2.3.2. Learning curve	12
2.3.3. Community and support	13
2.3.4. Tools and IDE	13
2.3.5. Architecture and patterns reflected on the framework	13
2.3.6. Configuration method and complexity	13
2.3.7. Amount of artifacts produced by the framework and the degree of complexity	14
2.4. Requirement Gathering	16
2.5. System Requirement and Analysis	17
2.5.1. 1st priority (1)	17
2.5.2. 2nd priority (2)	18
2.5.3. 3rd priority (3)	20
2.5.4. 4th priority (4)	20
2.5.5. 5th priority (5)	21
2.6. Summary	21
3. Implementation	25
3.1. JBoss Seam	27
3.2. Java Server Faces (JSF)	29
3.2.1. Working Environment and Tools	30
3.2.2. Architecture	30
3.2.3. Basic Concepts and Life Cycle	30
3.2.4. Project Structure and Artifacts Overview	32
3.2.5. Migration Steps	33
3.3. Apache Wicket	36
3.3.1. Working Environments and Tools	37

3.3.2.	Architecture	37
3.3.3.	Basic Concepts and Life Cycle	38
3.3.4.	Project Structure and Artifacts Overview	38
3.3.5.	Migration Steps	40
3.4.	Apache Struts2	41
3.4.1.	Working Environments and Tools	41
3.4.2.	Architecture	42
3.4.3.	Basic Concepts and Life Cycle	43
3.4.4.	Project Structure and Artifacts Overview	44
3.4.5.	Migration Steps	45
4.	Results and Evaluation	47
4.1.	1st Priority Requirement	47
4.2.	2nd Priority Requirement	48
4.3.	3rd Priority Requirement	49
4.4.	4th Priority Requirement	50
5.	Summary	53
A.	Appendix	55
A.1.	Frameworks and Related Tools List	55
A.2.	Project Structure	56
A.2.1.	Existing System's Main Project Structure (Muster)	56
A.3.	Full-Version Comparison Tables	57
A.3.1.	General Web Framework Criteria Comparison Table	57
	Bibliography	65

List of Figures

1.1. Project Phases and Outputs	2
2.1. Existing system's Use Case Diagram (part1)	6
2.2. Existing system's Use Case Diagram (part2)	6
2.3. Page Flow Diagram	7
2.4. Architecture diagram of the existing system	8
2.5. Communication flow of EJB application	9
2.6. Communication flow of MVC architecture	11
2.7. General Web Framework Criteria Comparison	14
2.8. Conversion table for [Figure 2.6]	14
2.9. Comparison result summary	16
2.10. Example of component-based, inheritance structure	19
2.11. Frameworks comparison against requirements	21
2.12. Requirements Summary	22
3.1. New Page Flow Diagram	26
3.2. Existing System Project Structure	27
3.3. Client project structure	28
3.4. Seam integrated architecture	29
3.5. JSF Prerequisites	30
3.6. JSF integrated architecture	31
3.7. Simple web application life cycle	31
3.8. JSF life cycle	31
3.9. JSF project structure	33
3.10. JSF Navigation Rules (Eclipse IDE)	34
3.11. JSF's web.xml)	35
3.12. JSF and Facelets inheritance example)	36
3.13. Wicket Prerequisites)	37
3.14. Wicket integrated architecture)	38
3.15. Wicket life cycle)	38
3.16. Wicket project structure)	39
3.17. Wicket's web.xml part1)	40
3.18. Wicket's web.xml part2)	41
3.19. Struts2 Prerequisites)	41
3.20. Struts2 integrated architecture)	43
3.21. Struts2 life cycle)	43
3.22. Struts2 project structure)	44
3.23. Struts2 action mapping xml)	45
3.24. Struts2's web.xml part1)	46
3.25. Struts2's web.xml part2)	46

3.26. Struts2's web.xml part3)	46
4.1. 1st Priority Requirement Result	48
4.2. 2nd Priority Requirement Result	49
4.3. 3rd Priority Requirement Result	49
4.4. 4th Priority Requirement Result	50
A.1. Existing System's Main Project Structure	56

List of Tables

A.1. Framework Comparison Matrix Part 1	60
A.2. Framework Comparison Matrix Part 2	63
A.3. Description of the Criteria	64

Source code index

